

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
LUFKIN DIVISION

CHARLES W. EDEN §
VS. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 9:18cv207
DOCTOR GEDDIS, ET AL. §

**ORDER ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE
JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

Plaintiff Charles W. Eden, an inmate at the Polunsky Unit, proceeding *pro se*, brought the above-styled lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Doctor Geddis, P.A. Rielley, and L. Montgomery.¹

The court referred this matter to the Honorable Zack Hawthorn, United States Magistrate Judge, for consideration pursuant to applicable laws and orders of this court. The Magistrate Judge recommends this action be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e).

The court has received and considered the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge filed pursuant to such referral, along with the record and pleadings. No objections to the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge were filed by the parties.

O R D E R

Accordingly, the findings of fact and conclusions of law of the Magistrate Judge are correct and the report of the Magistrate Judge is **ADOPTED**. A final judgment will be entered in this case in accordance with the Magistrate Judge's recommendations.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** April 25, 2019.



Ron Clark, Senior District Judge

¹ Plaintiff filed this action on a form used for filing a petition for writ of habeas corpus. However, plaintiff does not challenge the fact or duration of confinement. Accordingly, the action is properly construed as a civil rights complaint.